



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/596,784	06/19/2000	Adam J. Bogdanove	19603/3296 (CRF D-2098B)	3745	
7590 05/20/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael L Goldman			DESAI, ANAND U		
Nixon Peabody LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Clinton Square				THERMONDER	
P O Box 31051			1653		
Rochester, NY	14603		DATE MAILED: 05/20/200-	DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/596,784	BOGDANOVE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anand U Desai, Ph.D.	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayon the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoryone Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>26 January 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>17-28,38 and 39</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,19,38 and 39</u> is/are rejected		
7) Claim(s) 18 and 20-28 is/are objected to		÷
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in Ap	plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International	` ' ' '	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap Notice of Infinite o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 17-28, 38, and 39 are currently pending and are under examination.

Withdrawal of Rejections

The provisional rejection of claim 18 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 93, and 94 of copending Application No. 09/879,248 is withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 20-28 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 7-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,624,139 is withdrawn.

Maintenance of Objections and Rejections

Claim Objections

- Claims 18, and 20-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 18 depends from rejected claim 17. Claims 20, 23, and 26 describe "a protein or polypeptide according claim 17". Suggest describing the protein or polypeptide in claims 20, 23, and 26. Claims 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, and 28 are included because they depend from claims 20, 23, and 26.
- 2. Claim 39 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the

Art Unit: 1653

claim(s) in independent form. Claim 39 does not further limit the composition of claim 38 rather it further comprises an additive.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 17, 19, 38, and 39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 93, and 94 of copending Application No. 09/879,248 (US 2002/0062500 A1). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the protein or polypeptide claimed in the instant application (claims 17, and 19) having an amino acid sequence encoded by a nucleic acid whose full length complement hybridizes to SEQ ID. NO: 1 or SEQ ID. NO:3 is encompassed by the claimed protein of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/596,784

Art Unit: 1653

Conclusion

5. No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand U Desai, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (517) 272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/596,784

Art Unit: 1653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 4, 2004

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER